· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/856,793	DICKSON, NICOLA JANE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin S. Lemack</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET The examiner proposed the changes listed in the examiner Lemack then authorized the changes to be made by examinating the changes.	s amendment to place the case in	VAS DISCUSSED: condition for allowance. Kevin S.
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a wate record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance
An	It 6 thehorn	
/E	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)